GREENSTEIN DELORME & LUCHS, P.C.

WILLIAM H. HARRIS, JR.
RICHARD G. WISE
ABRAHAM J. GREENSTEIN
GILBERT E. DELORME
VINCENT MARK J. POLICY
RICHARD W. LUCHS
JUDITH R. GOLDMAN
JACOUES B. DEPUY
JEFFREY H. GELMAN
ALAN S. WEITZ
WILLIAM C. CASANO
JOHN PATRICK BROWN, JR.
LEWIS F. MORSE

ROGER D. LUCHS JAMES D. SADOWSKI

DONALD F. HOLMES, JR.

1620 L STREET, N.W., SUITE 900

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5605

TELEPHONE (202) 452-1400 FACSIMILE (202) 452-1410 www.gdllaw.com ANITRA ANDROM-POWELL ABRIELLE B. ANDERSON STEPHANIE A. BALDWIN RACHEL K. BLACKBURN* LYLE M. BLANCHARD GREGORY T. DUMONT ALFRED M. GOLDBERG JOSHUA M. GREENBERG JARED S. GREENSTEIN MONIC Y. HALSEY DAVID J. WALKER MACKENZIE H. YATES**

*ADMITTED IN FL ONLY **ADMITTED IN VA ONLY

December 28, 2007

D.C. OFFICE OF ZONING

BY HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Anthony Hood Chair, Zoning Commission 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 200S Washington, D.C. 20001

Re: Zoning Commission Case No. 07-11; (Map and Text Amendments – Southeast

Federal Center Overlay District); Proposed Building 160 Amendment

Dear Mr. Hood and Members of the Zoning Commission:

Enclosed please find an original and twenty (20) copies of a proposed text amendment concerning the application of the preferred use requirements to Building 160. Building 160 is the existing historic structure located on the south side of Tingey between 2nd and 3rd Streets, S.E., within the SEFC/R-5-E zone district.

Because Building 160 is located within the SEFC/R-5-E zone district, preferred retail uses are required on it's ground floor according to both the current requirements and the proposed requirements now pending with the Zoning Commission.

As the Commission may recall, at the set down of Z.C. Case No. 07-11, the Office of Planning stated that it recognized the difficulty in meeting all of the technical requirements for the preferred uses within the existing historic Building 160. At the set down public meeting, the Office of Planning noted that it would continue discussions with the Applicant concerning the unique building and stated that refined language concerning the application of the preferred use requirements to Building 160 would be submitted prior to the public hearing. (Please see the attached statement of Joel Lawson, Office of Planning, July 9, 2007).

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia

EXHIBIT NO .=

ASE NO. District of Columbia

CASE NO.07-11

EXHIBIT NO.18

GREENSTEIN DELORME & LUCHS, P.C. Mr. Anthony Hood December 28, 2007 Page 2

As per the current requirements of the Overlay, fifty percent (50%) of the ground floor of Building 160 must be dedicated to preferred uses (i.e., 12,845 square feet).¹

However, because Building 160 is an historic building, the existing column grid and location of exterior walls make full compliance with the current preferred use requirements and the proposed preferred use requirements impractical. Furthermore, Building 160 has a large interior courtyard which breaks up the floor plan of the structure and restricts the potential depth of the ground floor along Tingey and Water Streets. (Please see enclosed ground floor plan). The building's core uses, which are located near the primary street frontages and in areas accessible to the parking garage (which parking garage is located within the courtyard), must compete with the limited amount of ground floor space otherwise available for required preferred uses.

For the foregoing reasons, the Applicant proposes the attached provision with respect to preferred use requirements for Building 160. The proposed amendment would require preferred uses along both the Tingey and Water Street building frontages - to a minimum depth of 45 feet, excluding areas used as lobbies, elevators, stair towers, exit corridors, fire control/mechanical/electrical rooms or parking. This results in approximately 3,000 square feet of retail on Tingey and 6,000 square feet of retail on Water Street (i.e., a total of 9,000 square feet of preferred uses).

Thank you very much for your consideration of this proposed amendment.

Sincerely yours.

Stephania A Baldwin

328487v1

cc: Mr. Ramsey D. Meiser, Forest City Washington

Michael D. Benton, PE, VIKA, Inc.

Mark E. Gilliand, AIA, Shalom Baranes Associates

Mr. Joel Lawson, Associate Director, Development Review, Office of Planning

Mr. Roger Moffatt, Chair ANC 6D

Ms. Sharon Schellin, Secretary, Zoning Commission

Jacques B. DePuy, Esquire

According to the proposed amendments now pending with the Zoning Commission, the Building would be required to provide preferred uses on the ground floor on both the Tingey Street frontage and the W-0 zone district frontage in an amount equal to 75% of each frontage at a depth of 50 ft. Accordingly, this would require that approximately 5,300 square feet of ground floor space along each frontage be dedicated to preferred uses (i.e., a total of 10,600 square feet of preferred uses).

Proposed Amendment Building 160

Add a new subsection 1804.3(h) as follows:

Notwithstanding the requirements of § 1804.3(a), (e) and (g), preferred uses shall be provided on the ground floor of Building 160 along Tingey Street, S.E. and Water Street, S.E., to a minimum depth of forty-five (45) feet measured from the building's (i) northern façade along Tingey Street, S.E. and (ii) southern façade along Water Street, S.E. This provision shall not be applicable to areas used as lobbies, elevators, stair towers, exit corridors, or fire control, electrical or mechanical rooms, or devoted to parking ingress or egress.

Statement of Joel Lawson, Office of Planning, July 9, 2007

the east of Third Street, S.E. Again, in subsequent discussions, the applicant has expressed their concurrence with the OP position.

Section 1804.3A established where in the Southeast Federal Center R-5-E and D districts preferred use retail is required. OP supports the amendments to require the retail along Tingy Street, which is now envisioned as the neighborhood serving retail street, but recommends that preferred uses should be provided on both Tingy Street and Water Street, east of Third Street, S.E.

In subsequent discussions with the applicant, concerns regarding the ability to meet all of the technical requirements for retail within the existing historic structure Building 160 were discussed, particularly since the building is to be converted to residential use, necessitating a large internal courtyard which will limit design options for retail space on the ground floor.

(202) 234-4433

This issue requires additional study between OP and the applicant. But OP recognizes that there would be difficulty in meeting all of the technical requirements for the preferred uses and that it would be preferable to address these issues in the overlay text.

OP is proposing that for the advertisement, the OP proposal be set down, but OP will continue discussions with the applicant and will submit refined language to note which aspects of the technical requirements this unique building should not be required to conform to. And those would be provided prior to the public hearing.

And finally, Section 1804.3B would allow preferred uses to be located in portions of the Southeast Federal Center R-5-E and D districts, where such uses are not actually required. The applicant has proposed a change to eliminate a requirement that such optional preferred use space not be required to be retained for the life of the building. OP

Ground Floor Plan

